## A Deep Learning-Based Approach for Detecting Dust on Solar Panels

WMTN Weerasekara<sup>1#</sup>, and MWP Maduranga<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Information Technology, Faculty of Computing, General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University, Sri Lanka

<sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Engineering, Faculty of Computing, General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University, Sri

#37-it-0024@kdu.ac.lk

Abstract— Solar energy has emerged as a crucial alternative to conventional power sources, but the accumulation of dust particles on solar panels poses a significant challenge to their efficiency. Frequent cleaning of the panels is also essential to optimize photovoltaic generation, but manual cleaning in these areas is challenging. Research indicates that if solar panels are left uncleaned for six months, they can have adverse effects. The dirt can lead to a 35-40% drop in power generation. The ability to detect dust is critical to ensuring that panels are clean. We propose a novel approach for dust detection on solar panels to address this issue, utilizing deep learning techniques. This research paper presents a comprehensive investigation into developing and implementing a deep learning-based model to identify and classify dust particles on solar panels automatically. The proposed methodology uses a convolutional neural network (CNN) architecture, showing remarkable success in various computer vision tasks. The critical stages of this approach include data acquisition, pre-processing, and model training collected dataset. This model has three main classes: dust>50%, dust<50%, and clean. Improved accuracy of CNN model using data augmentation, pre-processing, learning, cross-validation, hyperparameter deep optimization, and performance metrics like precision, recall, and F1 score. The project aim is to develop an automated dust detection system for solar panels to improve accuracy, enable real-time monitoring, reduce maintenance costs, evaluate environmental impact, analyze long-term performance, ensure adaptability, provide a user-friendly interface, and assess costeffectiveness.

### *Keywords*— Dust detection, solar panels, deep learning, convolutional neural network (CNN), data augmentation, pre-processing, model training, accuracy.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Solar energy has gained considerable attention as a viable alternative to conventional energy sources thanks to its numerous advantages, such as its renewable nature, reduced carbon emissions, and long-term cost savings. Solar panels convert sunlight into electricity and play a vital role in harnessing this abundant energy resource. The buildup of dust and other airborne particles can significantly reduce the effectiveness of solar panels. [1]

One of the main issues preventing the technology from being used on many solar panels is the buildup of dust on the solar modules. Therefore, it reduces the energy produced by solar panels. We cannot get the maximum output as we expected. Dust accumulation on solar panels presents a twofold problem. Firstly, dust particles obstruct sunlight from reaching the photovoltaic cells, reducing the amount of energy produced. Studies have shown that even a thin layer of dust can cause a considerable drop in power output. Secondly, dust on the surface of solar panels can create hotspots, leading to potential damage and decreased panel lifespan.[2], [3] Regular cleaning and maintenance are necessary to ensure optimal performance. Manual cleaning methods involving water and mechanical tools are commonly employed to remove dust from solar panels. However, these methods are labor-intensive, time-consuming, and only sometimes practical, especially in large-scale solar installations. Furthermore, manual cleaning may only sometimes effectively remove all dust particles, leaving residual debris on the panels. To address these challenges, integrating deep learning techniques, specifically, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), presents a promising avenue for automating the dust detection process. [2] CNNs excel in image analysis tasks, as they can automatically learn and extract relevant features from input images, enabling accurate detection and classification. [4],[5]

The aim is to detect dust on solar panels with the highest possible accuracy by using a deep learning technique, a convolutional neural network by inserting a picture of a solar panel into the system, to overcome the problems and ineffectiveness of manual dust detection. Solar panel owners and operators can reduce maintenance costs, enhance energy production, and contribute to a more

Lanka

sustainable and efficient solar energy ecosystem by automating the dust detection process.

This paper explores challenges posed by dust on solar panels, hindering energy efficiency. To overcome this, it investigates using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) for automated dust detection. The study covers data collection, preprocessing, CNN model design, and training. The CNN's ability to extract features from images is emphasized. Results showcase the model's accurate dust level classification. While limitations like lighting and particle size effects are noted, the study highlights potential improvements. In summary, applying deep learning for dust detection holds promise for enhancing renewable energy efficiency.

#### **II. RELATED WORKS**

According to the other published papers, they used various techniques to detect dust. Among them, some use image processing to predict the dust and it has 3 basic steps, importing the image, manipulating the image, and correcting the image based on the analysis. The solar module's power output was formulated and predicted using Artificial neural networks (ANN). The neurons that transfer functions that assist in formulating the output are the most significant aspect of an ANN and it includes 3 layers: input layer, output layer, and hidden layer. When talking about their data collection method they collected with the inputs as dust and irradiance on the solar panels and the output as voltage and current. They used backward propagation to train ANN. [9] Some are used on the KNN classification algorithm to develop a new Intrusion Detection System based on the wireless sensor network. [5] Someone has come up with a technique to reduce the effect of dirt and dust on the efficiency of solar panels to generate electricity. When necessary, it cleans the solar surface while monitoring the power generation. The suggested system is made up of three major components. They are sensing and it analyzes the dust density and, if necessary, sends an SMS warning message to the operator. After that Using an Arduino Uno microcontroller, current, and voltage sensors, you can measure the PV panel output in real-time while also processing how collected dust affects the output power. Finally, is the windshield wiper system, powered by a relay connected to the microcontroller and activated when the output power value approaches 50% of its rated value. To distinguish between day and night, they employ a Light Dependent Resistor (LDR) sensor. Comparing the effectiveness with and without solar panels is part of the approach. [6] According to the related works, they used different algorithms to detect dust. The uniqueness of the CNN algorithm is, that it is an accurate way of solving classification problems, is efficient, and can handle large amounts of image data.

# III. METHODOLOGY AND EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

This research aims to create a system that can detect dust on solar panels. To gather enough information, a survey was conducted among solar panel distributors and users with experience with dust on solar panels. Previous research on using machine vision to detect dust in solar panels was also reviewed. The survey results were used to create a model, following these steps.

#### A. Created Data Set for Model Training

Identify a suitable solar panel dataset with a total of 1560 containing images of solar panels with varying degrees of dust accumulation and consider acquiring datasets from diverse geographical locations and varying environmental conditions to ensure the robustness of the model. It includes three main classes Clean, dust<50%, and dust>50% images of solar panels. A few samples of the images are shown in Fig.1 to Fig.3, and Table 1 shows the number of images available in the dataset.



Figure 1. Clean



Figure 2. Dust<50%



Figure 3. Dust >50%

| Class    | Number of Images |                |  |
|----------|------------------|----------------|--|
|          | Training set     | Validation set |  |
| Clean    | 500              | 100            |  |
| Dust<50% | 400              | 80             |  |
| Dust>50% | 400              | 80             |  |

#### B. Created Data Set for Model Training

Data preprocessing involves procedures and strategies applied to unprocessed data before training a machine learning model. Its goal is to ensure that the data is in an appropriate format and quality for efficient model training and analysis. This requires transforming and cleaning the data. The preprocessing steps applied to the training and validation datasets include rescaling, data augmentation, resizing, selecting batch sizes, shuffling, and one-hot encoding of labels. These preprocessing techniques help prepare the data for training the CNN model and improve its ability to learn and generalize from the provided images.[6]

A total of 1560 pictures were used for the CNN model, with 1300 being used for training and 260 for verifying accuracy. The 260 images used for validation testing were chosen as 20% of the total images.

#### C. Creating the CNN Model

Computer vision has dramatically enhanced thanks to convolutional neural networks, which utilize deep learning. The image illustrates the essential operation of a CNN model.



#### Figure 4. Basic Process Inside a CNN Model

In contrast, the developed model comprises numerous layers that are intended to extract and teach key elements from input photos to detect dust. The model's initial building blocks are the conv2D layer with 64 filters, kernel size of 5, and ReLU activation function. It accepts RGB color channel input images with the shape (255, 255, 3). Next, the spatial dimensions of the feature maps are condensed using a MaxPool2D layer with a pool size of 5. The next Conv2D layer uses the ReLU activation function and has 32 filters and a kernel size of 3. [7], [8] The model includes a second MaxPool2D layer with a pool size of three, a third Conv2D layer with 16 filters, and a kernel size of 2, which uses the ReLU activation function. Another MaxPool2D layer with a pool size of 2 is added to the model.

These layers extract and compress essential features from the input images. The multi-dimensional feature maps are then transformed into a 1D vector using a Flatten layer, preparing the data for the following fully connected layers. The final layer of the model, called Dense, represents the three classes involved in the dust detection task and generates probability for each class using the softmax activation function.

The model is created using the powerful gradient-based optimization method, the Adam optimizer, with the "categorical\_crossentropy" loss function, which is appropriate for multi-class classification problems with one-hot encoded labels. The model's performance is assessed using the "accuracy" metric.[9], [10]

The overall goal of this CNN model architecture is to extract pertinent characteristics from the input photos and conduct multi-class classification for solar panel dust detection.

#### D.Model Training

A crucial stage in creating a deep learning model for dust detection on solar panels using the CNN architecture is model training. In this study, the training technique is carried out using a GPU (more particularly, GPU device 0) to take advantage of its processing capability and speed up the training process. The model is trained for a specified number of epochs, in this case, 50.

In order to minimize the stated loss function (categorical cross-entropy), the model iteratively adjusts its parameters during the training phase. This allows the model to learn from the training dataset. The Adam optimizer is used to optimize and modify the model's weights following the estimated gradients. This enables the model to gradually enhance its functionality and enhance its precision in detecting dust on solar panels.

The percentage of correctly categorized samples in the training dataset is known as training accuracy. The training set of data shows how accurate the model was. The model may have memorized the training data without adequately generalizing to new samples, a sign of overfitting. High training accuracy, therefore, does not ensure good performance on unknown data.

The inaccuracy or difference between the model's anticipated output and the actual target values on the training dataset is represented by training loss. It shows how well the model fits the training set of data. The objective is to reduce the training loss, which measures how well the model can learn from the training data and produce reliable predictions.

A validation dataset that is separate from the training dataset is used to evaluate the model's performance at the end of each epoch's end. Researchers can learn more about the model's generalization ability and spot any overfitting or underfitting by testing it on previously unexplored data.

On the other hand, validation accuracy gauges the percentage of correctly identified samples in the validation dataset. It gives a general indication of how well the model performs on unobserved data. A higher validation accuracy indicates a model working well while accurately identifying the samples in the validation dataset.

The validation loss calculates the error or difference between the target values on the validation dataset and the model's anticipated output. It shows how effectively the model generalizes to new data. A minor validation loss indicates improved prediction accuracy on the validation dataset. After training, the model has to optimize and maintain the model.

#### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

This section presents the outcomes and implications of the research on dust detection on solar panels using deep learning techniques. This section outlines the performance metrics achieved by the implemented CNN model on the training and validation datasets and a comprehensive analysis of the results.

To gain a comprehensive understanding of the model training process and its impact on the performance of the dust detection CNN model, a detailed analysis of the model history graph is conducted.



#### Figure 5. Model Training History Graph

Figure 5 indicates the predicted behavior of the models produced, allowing them to evaluate whether the models should be rejected or accepted. The blue and red lines show the accuracy and loss of the training set, respectively. The green and yellow lines show the validation accuracy and validation loss, respectively.

Increasing the number of epochs can improve accuracy by allowing the model to make more weight and bias updates, leading to better pattern recognition and learning from the training data. This model was trained using 50 epochs, and both accuracies increased as the number of epochs increased, while both losses decreased.

| Tał | ole | 2. | Model | Summary |
|-----|-----|----|-------|---------|
|-----|-----|----|-------|---------|

| Layer(type)       | Output Shape         | Param# |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------|
| conv2d_3 (Conv2D) | (None, 251, 251, 64) | 4864   |
| max_pooling2d_3   | (None, 50, 50, 64)   | 0      |
| (MaxPooling 2D)   |                      |        |

| conv2d_4 (Conv2D)        | (None, 48, 48, 32) | 18464 |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------|--|
| max_pooling2d_4          | (None, 16, 16, 32) | 0     |  |
| (MaxPooling 2D)          |                    |       |  |
| conv2d_5 (Conv2D)        | (None, 15, 15, 16) | 2064  |  |
| max_pooling2d_5          | (None, 7, 7, 16)   | 0     |  |
| (MaxPooling 2D)          |                    |       |  |
| flatten_1 (Flatten)      | (None, 784)        | 0     |  |
| dense_1 (Dense)          | (None, 3)          | 2355  |  |
| Total params: 27,747     |                    |       |  |
| Trainable params: 27,747 |                    |       |  |
| Non-trainable params: 0  |                    |       |  |

The model summary provides an overview of the architecture and structure of the trained CNN model. The many layers are described, along with their kinds and output shapes. Additionally, it lists the number of parameters connected to each layer, indicating the model's capacity and complexity.

27,747 different parameters make up the model in total, each trainable. There are no non-trainable parameters. During training, these parameters changed to enable the model to develop and produce precise predictions based on the input data.

The model can recognize complex patterns and extract essential data from the input photos since it has many convolutional and pooling layers.

|          | provision | rocall  | f1    | support |
|----------|-----------|---------|-------|---------|
|          | precision | Itecall | 11-   | support |
|          |           |         | score |         |
| 0        | 0.96      | 0.98    | 0.97  | 500     |
| 1        | 0.89      | 0.85    | 0.87  | 400     |
| 2        | 0.89      | 0.90    | 0.90  | 400     |
| accuracy |           |         | 0.92  | 1300    |
| Macro    | 0.91      | 0.91    | 0.91  | 1300    |
| avg      |           |         |       |         |
| Weighted | 0.92      | 0.92    | 0.92  | 1300    |
| avg      |           |         |       |         |

Table 3. Classification Report

A helpful tool for assessing how well a CNN model performs in a classification task is the classification report. For each class in the dataset, it offers a thorough examination of several metrics, including precision, recall, F1-score, and support.

Precision evaluates how accurately optimistic predictions for a given class are made. It shows how accurately and without false positives, the model can categorize cases belonging to that class. A high precision score indicates a low rate of false positives. Recall, often referred to as sensitivity or the valid positive rate, gauges how well a model can recognize positive examples of a class. It shows the model's ability to identify pertinent events without producing false negatives. A high recall score suggests a low percentage of false negatives.

The harmonic mean of recall and precision is known as the F1-score. It offers a fair evaluation of a model's performance, considering both recall and precision. A model that performs well and balances precision and recall has a high F1 score.

The number of examples in the dataset that belong to a particular class is referred to as support. It offers information about how the classes are distributed and aids in interpreting the importance of the performance indicators for each class.

According to the provided classification report, the CNN model achieved promising results in classifying the three classes of the dataset. The model achieved an accuracy of 91.85%, indicating its ability to classify instances across all classes correctly. The weighted average considers class imbalance, whereas the macro average determines the average performance across all classes. The precision, recall, and F1-score macro averages were all 91%. Precision, recall, and the F1-score had weighted averages of 92% each. These results consider any class imbalances in the dataset and show consistent performance across the classes.

The CNN model has great classification performance, reaching high accuracy and successfully differentiating across different classes, according to these data. These findings demonstrate the model's potential for utilizing deep learning to find dust on solar panels.



Figure 6. Confusion Matrix

- i. True Positive (TP): The model correctly predicted the positive instances of a class.
- ii. True Negative (TN): The model correctly predicted the negative instances of a class.
- iii. False Positive (FP): The model incorrectly predicted positive instances, also known as Type I error or false alarms.
- iv. False Negative (FN): The model incorrectly predicted negative instances, also known as Type II error or missed detections.

According to the matrix,

Clean - Out of 500 clean images, 491 (98.2%) were correctly detected. This suggests that the model is proficient in distinguishing clean panels from those with dust accumulation.

Dust<50% - Out of 400 clean images, 342 (85.5%) were correctly detected. This indicates that the model can identify a significant portion of images with a relatively low level of dust accumulation, although it may occasionally misclassify some instances.

Dust >50% - Out of 400 clean images, 361 (90.2%) were correctly detected. This implies that the model can detect images with higher levels of dust deposition with good accuracy, while it can occasionally miss some panels that are substantially dusted.

#### IV. CONCLUSION AND FURTHER WORKS

This study used deep learning methods, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), to identify dust on solar panels. The study sought to address the problem of accurately identifying and quantifying dust deposition on solar panels, which can substantially impact their performance and energy production. It did this by using a CNN model. The findings of this study showed how well the CNN model performed in identifying and categorizing the different levels of dust on solar panels. The model achieved good precision, recall, and F1-score for several classes of dust accumulation by utilizing image data and applying multiple layers of convolution and pooling. The evaluation measures showed that the model could distinguish between clean panels, panels with less than 50% dust, and panels with more than 50% dust.

The study also emphasized the significance of data preparation methods, such as rescaling and augmentation, in improving the functionality and robustness of the model. Accurate predictions were made possible using a CNN well-designed architecture incorporating convolutional and pooling layers to extract relevant features from the input images. However, it is essential to recognize some of the shortcomings of this research. Factors such as lighting circumstances, differences in dust particle sizes, and the specific camera quality used for image capture can all impact the model's accuracy. In order to improve the model's effectiveness and address these concerns, it would be beneficial to conduct additional testing and investigation.

In conclusion, the successful application of deep learning methods, particularly the CNN model, for dust detection on solar panels significantly contributes to the renewable energy industry. The study paves the way for future advancements in automated maintenance systems and optimization of solar panel performance, ultimately leading to a more sustainable and efficient utilization of solar energy.

For future work, the researcher plan to develop a web application for solar panel distribution companies. The maintenance group can then log into the system to check whether users' solar panels are clean or dirty. If any panels are dirty, the maintenance group can inform the cleaning team via email, enabling them to perform the necessary maintenance. This approach also enhances customer satisfaction.

#### REFERENCES

Cipriani, G. et al. (2020) 'Convolutional neural network for dust and hotspot classification in PV modules', Energies, 13(23). Available at: <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/en13236357</u>.

Dhinakaran, K. and Phirke P., M.R. (2020) 'Dust particles detection on camera lens', Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management, 0(March), pp. 1495–1503.

Elminir, H.K. et al. (2006) 'Effect of dust on the transparent cover of solar collectors', Energy Conversion and Management, 47(18–19), pp. 3192–3203. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2006.02.014.

Lee, J. et al. (2021) 'Machine learning based algorithms for global dust aerosol detection from satellite images: Intercomparisons and evaluation', Remote Sensing, 13(3), pp. 1–24. Available at: <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13030456</u>.

Li, W. et al. (2014) 'A new intrusion detection system based on KNN classification algorithm in wireless sensor network', Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering, 2014(1). Available at: <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/240217</u>.

Mohammed, H.A., Al-Hilli, B.A.M. and Al-Mejibli, I.S. (2018) 'Smart system for dust detecting and removing from solar cells', Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1032(1). Available at: <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1032/1/012055</u>.

Onim, M.S.H. et al. (2023) 'SolNet: A Convolutional Neural Network for Detecting Dust on Solar Panels', Energies, 16(1), pp. 1–19. Available at: <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/en16010155</u>.

Qasem, H., Mnatsakanyan, A. and Banda, P. (2017) 'Assessing dust on PV modules using image processing techniques', 2017 IEEE 44th Photovoltaic Specialist Conference, PVSC 2017, 971(0), pp. 2740–2743. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1109/PVSC.2017.8366466.

Saquib, D., Nasser, M.N. and Ramaswamy, S. (2020) 'Image processing based dust detection and prediction of power using ANN in PV systems', Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Smart Systems and Inventive Technology, ICSSIT 2020, (Icssit), pp. 1286–1292. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSSIT48917.2020.9214216

Al-dahoud, A., Fezari, M. and Aldahoud, A.L.I. (2023) 'Machine Learning in Renewable Energy Application: Intelligence System for Machine Learning in Renewable Energy Application: Intelligence System for Solar Panel Cleaning', (May). Available at:https://doi.org/10.37394/232015.2023.19.45.